UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE:

OPENAI, INC., COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

NYT v. Microsoft et al., No. 23-cv-11195

25-md-3143 (SHS) (OTW)

Hon. Sidney H. Stein Hon. Ona T. Wang

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

This stipulation is entered into between Plaintiff The New York Times Company ("The Times") and Defendant OpenAI.

WHEREAS, on July 29, 2025, OpenAI filed a motion challenging certain privilege assertions by The Times (ECF No. 375);

WHEREAS, on August 15, 2025, the Court directed The Times to provide a supplemental privilege log for three of the documents in dispute by August 15, 2025 (ECF No. 459);

WHEREAS, on August 15, 2025, The Times provided a privilege log with entries for redacted copies of two of the presentations at issue in OpenAI's motion and confirmed that it was not claiming privilege over the training module at issue in OpenAI's motion;

WHEREAS, on August 21, 2025, The Times produced three documents at issue in OpenAI's motion, including (i) a redacted copy of a presentation entitled "Generative AI Update" (Bates NYT 00906418), (ii) a redacted copy of a presentation entitled "Update on Generative AI" (Bates NYT 00906485), and (iii) an unredacted training entitled "Responsible Use of Company-Approved Generative AI Tools at The New York Times" (Bates NYT 00906569);

WHEREAS, The Times has confirmed that there are additional drafts and communications related to these documents which they continue to withhold pursuant to claims of privilege, but which were not included on The Times's August 15, 2025 supplemental privilege log;

WHEREAS OpenAI reserves all rights as to these forthcoming log entries;

NOW THEREFORE, The Times and OpenAI stipulate that, upon the Court's approval of the stipulation:

- 1. OpenAI will not seek in camera review of the two redacted documents The Times logged on August 15, 2025;
- 2. No further briefing is necessary as to the three specific documents The Times produced on August 21, 2025;
- 3. The Times will log any additional drafts or communications related to the presentations and training module at issue in OpenAI's motion in its final privilege log, which is due August 27, 2025;
- 4. The Times will identify the specific log entries for these additional documents to OpenAI by September 2, 2025, so that the parties can continue meet and confer over these additional entries;
- 5. The parties will inform the Court if further briefing is necessary as to those additional entries after completion of meet and confer.

IT IS SO STIPULATED, through Counsel of Record.

Dated: August 22, 2025

/s/ Herman H. Yue

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

Andrew M. Gass (pro hac vice) andrew.gass@lw.com San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415.391.0600

Sarang V. Damle sy.damle@lw.com Herman H. Yue herman.yue@lw.com Luke A. Budiardjo luke.budiardjo@lw.com 1271 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10020 Telephone: 212.906.1200

/s/ Rose S. Lee

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

Joseph C. Gratz (pro hac vice) jgratz@mofo.com Tiffany Cheung (pro hac vice) tcheung@mofo.com Caitlin Sinclaire Blythe (pro hac vice) cblythe@mofo.com 425 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: 415.268.7000

Rose S. Lee (pro hac vice) roselee@mofo.com 707 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 6000 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: 213.892.5200

Elana Nightingale Dawson (pro hac vice) elana.nightingaledawson@lw.com
555 Eleventh Street, NW, Suite 1000
Washington, D.C. 20004
Telephone: 202.637.2200

/s/ R. James Slaughter

KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP

Robert A. Van Nest (pro hac vice) rvannest@keker.com
R. James Slaughter (pro hac vice)* rslaughter@keker.com
Paven Malhotra
pmalhotra@keker.com
Michelle S. Ybarra (pro hac vice)
mybarra@keker.com
633 Battery St.
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: 415.391.5400
Attorneys for OpenAI

Dated: August 22, 2025 /s/ Ian B. Crosby

Ian Crosby (pro hac vice) SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. 401 Union Street, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 516-3880 Facsimile: (206) 516-3883

Facsimile: (206) 516-3883 icrosby@susmangodfrey.com

Attorney for Plaintiff
The New York Times Company

SO ORDERED this _____ day of August, 2025.

Hon. Ona T. Wang

United States Magistrate Judge